

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,178	11/13/2003	Martin C. Baker	H0005434	9815
128 7:	590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
HONEYWEL	L INTERNATIONA	HEINRICH, S	SAMUEL M	
P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07962-2245		1725	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/713,178	BAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•	•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.	,				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
· ·						
A44 L						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 05/05; 11/03.	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	7-7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	J/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/713,178

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 9, line 2, "a second" is not a clear description. Claim 10 depends on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1725

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP09057482 in view of USPN 2,074,629 to Ungar and in view of GB1334772. Ungar shows a very old and well known shield on a hand tool. GB1334772 shows a shield on a laser tool and the shield surrounds the nozzle. JP09057482 shows a hand held laser tool which has a shield. The use of a surround-type shield on the hand tool of JP09057482 would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the shields are very old and well known and the different shaped shields have been used on different tools for decades. The instant claimed intended use(s) of the device does not impart patentability to the device.

Claims 12-14, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP09057482 in view of USPN 2,074,629 to Ungar and in view of GB1334772 as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of USPN 5,151,095 to Teeple, Jr. Teeple, Jr discloses well known sensor means in a shield. The use of well known sensors in any shield would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the sensors can be used to provide feedback for the user for improving a work task.

Claims 5-11 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP09057482 in view of USPN 2,074,629 to Ungar and in view of GB1334772 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP359087999A.

JP359087999A discloses well known replaceable shields (12C in Figure 1B). The use of replaceable shields is very old and well known. The use of a replaceable shield in the laser tool would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art because of ease and economy of replacement of the shield surface. The use of a recess for positive location is well known, for instance in window and frame assemblies.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to shields.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725